PATENT COORERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

MAY 0 2 2005

To: BLAKELY, S	OKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP		
FAHMI, Tarek	LOS ANGENES IFICATION OF TRANSMITTAL OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT AND		
12400 Wilshire Boulevard 7th Floor	THE WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL		
Los Angeles CA 90025	SEARCHING AUTHORITY, OR THE DECLARATION		
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			
	ARAM (1 D FORE)		
	MAY 0 3 Milli		
	STATUS DB-LA (PCT Rule 44.1)		
	Date of mailing		
	(day/month/year) 27/04/2005		
Applicant's or agent's file reference			
6317P097PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraphs 1 and 4 below		
International application No.	International filing date		
PCT/US2004/041884	(day/month/year) 10/12/2004		
Applicant			
Applicant			
APPLIED MATERIALS ISRAEL, LTD.			
1. X The applicant is hereby notified that the international search Authority have been established and are transmitted herewi	report and the written opinion of the International Searching th.		
Filing of amendments and statement under Article 19:			
The applicant is entitled, if he so wishes, to amend the claim			
When? The time limit for filing such amendments is nor International Search Report; however, for more	details, see the notes on the accompanying sheet.		
Where? Directly to the International Bureau of WIPO, 34 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland, Fa			
For more detailed instructions, see the notes on the acco	mpanying sheet.		
2. The applicant is hereby notified that no international search report will be established and that the declaration under Article 17(2)(a) to that effect and the written opinion of the International Searching Authority are transmitted herewith.			
3. With regard to the protest against payment of (an) additional fee(s) under Rule 40.2, the applicant is notified that:			
applicant's request to forward the texts of both the pro	n transmitted to the International Bureau together with the test and the decision thereon to the designated Offices.		
no decision has been made yet on the protest; the app	olicant will be notified as soon as a decision is made.		
4. Reminders			
Shortly after the expiration of 18 months from the priority date, the International Bureau. If the applicant wishes to avoid or postpone application, or of the priority claim, must reach the International B	publication, a notice of withdrawal of the international		
before the completion of the technical preparations for internation	nal publication.		
The applicant may submit comments on an informal basis on the International Bureau. The International Bureau will send a copy of international preliminary examination report has been or is to be the public but not before the expiration of 30 months from the price.	of such comments to all designated Offices unless an established. These comments would also be made available to		
Within 19 months from the priority date, but only in respect of so examination must be filed if the applicant wishes to postpone the date (in some Offices even later); otherwise, the applicant must, acts for entry into the national phase before those designated Offices even later).	me designated Offices, a demand for international preliminary entry into the national phase until 30 months from the priority within 20 months from the priority date, perform the prescribed		
In respect of other designated Offices, the time limit of 30 month months.	s (or later) will apply even if no demand is filed within 19		
See the Annex to Form PCT/IB/301 and, for details about the apparate Guide, Volume II, National Chapters and the WIPO Internet site.	plicable time limits, Office by Office, see the PCT Applicant's		

Name and mailing address of the International Searching Authority

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL-2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Cornelia Schulze

Authorized officer

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

(PCT Article 18 and Rules 43 and 44)

Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION as we	see Form PCT/ISA/220 Il as, where applicable, item 5 below.
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year)	(Earliest) Priority Date (day/month/year)
PCT/US2004/041884	10/12/2004	10/12/2003
Applicant		
APPLIED MATERIALS ISRAEL,	LTD.	
This International Search Report has bee according to Article 18. A copy is being tra	n prepared by this International Searching Au ansmitted to the International Bureau.	thority and is transmitted to the applicant
This International Search Report consists X It is also accompanied by	of a total of sheets. a copy of each prior art document cited in thi	s report.
 Basis of the report a. With regard to the language, the language in which it was filed, un 	international search was carried out on the balless otherwise indicated under this item.	asis of the international application in the
this Authority (Ru	le 23.1(b)).	slation of the international application furnished to
		d in the international application, see Box No. I.
	nd unsearchable (See Box II).	
3. Unity of invention is lac	king (see Box III).	
4. With regard to the title ,	ubmitted by the applicant.	
<u> </u>	shed by this Authority to read as follows:	
5. With regard to the abstract,		
	ubmitted by the applicant.	
		ority as it appears in Box No. IV. The applicant arch report, submit comments to this Authority.
6. With regard to the drawings ,		
	published with the abstract is Figure No. <u>5</u>	
X as suggested by as selected by the	tne applicant. is Authority, because the applicant failed to s	uggest a figure.
	is Authority, because this figure better charac	
	be published with the abstract.	

International application No.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/US2004/041884

Box No. IV Text of the abstract (Continuation of item 5 of the first sheet)

A method for evaluating a feature, consisting of receiving an image of the feature and determining respective coordinates of a plurality of points on an edge of the feature in the image. A figure having a non-circular non-linear shape is fitted to the plurality of points, and respective distances between the plurality of points and the figure are determined. A roughness parameter for the feature is computed in response to the respective distances. The methods finds application in the analysis of critical dimensions (CD) of integrated circuits and, particulary, in the measurement of the edge roughness of their features and components as imaged by means of eg. the electron scanning microscopy (SEM).

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No PCT/US2004/041884

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER IPC 7 G01N21/956 G01B

G01N23/22

G01B11/24

H01L21/66

G03F7/20

G06T7/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

GO1N GO1B H01L G03F G06T IPC 7

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, INSPEC, COMPENDEX

lo claim No.
,

X	Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.

Patent family members are listed in annex.

- Special categories of cited documents:
- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- "L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- "O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- "P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed
- "T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
- "X" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
- "Y" document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.
- "&" document member of the same patent family

27/04/2005

Date of the actual completion of the international search

Date of mailing of the international search report

15 April 2005

Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk

Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl, Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Navas Montero, E

2

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No
PCT/US2004/041884

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Category °	ORARON OF GOGUTHERIC, WITH INGICATION, WHERE APPROPRIATE, OF THE TELEVANT PASSAGES	neievair to Claim NO.
X,P	LEE TAE YONG ET AL.: "Experimental methodology of contact edge roughness on sub-100 nm pattern" PROCEEDINGS OF SPIE: METROLOGY, INSPECTION, AND PROCESS CONTROL FOR MICROLITHOGRAPHY XVIII, vol. 5375, 24 May 2004 (2004-05-24), pages 623-632, XP002324803 USA the whole document	1-5, 7-15, 17-21, 23-31, 33-38
X	BUNDAY BENJAMIN D. ET AL.: "CD-SEM Measurement of Line Edge Roughness Test Patterns for 193 nm Lithography" PROCEEDINGS OF SPIE: PROCESS AND MATERIALS CHARACTERIZATION AND DIAGNOSTICS IN IC MANUFACTURING, vol. 5041, July 2003 (2003-07), pages 127-141, XP002324804 USA page 129, lines 1-31 page 133, lines 5-8	16,32
A	EP 1 279 923 A (HITACHI, LTD) 29 January 2003 (2003-01-29) the whole document	1,16,17, 33-38

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No
PCT/US2004/041884

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 6480807	B1	12-11-2002	JP	2001091231 A	06-04-2001
EP 1279923	Α	29-01-2003	JP EP US	2003037139 A 1279923 A2 2003021463 A1	07-02-2003 29-01-2003 30-01-2003

Form PCT/ISA/210 (patent family annex) (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY PCT To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/US2004/041884 10.12.2004 10.12.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G01N21/956, G01B11/24, H01L21/66, G03F7/20, G06T7/00, G01N23/22 **Applicant** APPLIED MATERIALS ISRAEL, LTD. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. III Lack of unity of invention ☐ Box No. IV Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application 2. **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. 3.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - Gitschiner Str. 103 D-10958 Berlin

Tel. +49 30 25901 - 0 Fax: +49 30 25901 - 840 **Authorized Officer**

Navas Montero, E

Telephone No. +49 30 25901-632



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US2004/041884

	Box N	o. I Basis of the opinion					
1.	With re	ith regard to the language , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in a language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.					
	lai	is opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following aguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search and 23.1(b)).					
2.	With renecess	egard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:					
	a. type	of material:					
		a sequence listing					
		table(s) related to the sequence listing					
	b. form	at of material:					
		in written format					
		in computer readable form					
	c. time	of filing/furnishing:					
		contained in the international application as filed.					
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.					
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.					
3.	há co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto as been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional ppies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.					
4.	Additio	onal comments:					
_	Box N	o. II Priority					
1.	de re	ne validity of the priority claim has not been considered because the International Searching Authority best not have in its possession a copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed or, where quired, a translation of that earlier application. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the ssumption that the relevant date (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1) is the claimed priority date.					
2.	ha	nis opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim as been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.					

3. Additional observations, if necessary:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

Claims

6, 12, 15, 16, 22, 28, 31, 32, 34, 37

1-5, 7-11, 13, 14, 17-21, 23-27, 29, 30, 33, 35, 36, 38

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-38

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-38

No: Claims

No:

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

Although claims 1, 16, 17 and 32 to 38 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought and, to some extent, in respect of the terminology used for the features of that subject-matter. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness and as such do not meet the requirements of **Article 6 PCT**.

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 2 Reference is made to the following documents:
 - D1: US-B1-6 480 807 (MIYANO YUMIKO) 12 November 2002 (2002-11-12); and
 - D2: BUNDAY BENJAMIN D. ET AL.: "CD-SEM Measurement of Line Edge Roughness Test Patterns for 193 nm Lithography" PROCEEDINGS OF SPIE: PROCESS AND MATERIALS CHARACTERIZATION AND DIAGNOSTICS IN IC MANUFACTURING, vol. 5041, July 2003 (2003-07), pages 127-141, XP002324804 USA.
- The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent claims 1, 17, 33, 35, 36 and 38 is not novel in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT, and because the subject-matter of independent claims 16, 32, 34 and 37 is not inventive within the meaning of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 3.1 As regards claim 1 document D1 discloses a method for evaluating a feature (cf. col. 2, lines 55 and 56), comprising:
 - receiving an image of the feature (cf. col. 2, lines 63 and 64);
 - determining respective coordinates of a plurality of points on an edge of the feature in the image (cf. col. 7, line 66 to col. 8, line 3);
 - fitting a figure having a non-circular non-linear shape to the plurality of points,
 determining respective distances between the plurality of points and the figure;

and computing a roughness parameter for the feature in response to the respective distances (cf. col. 8, lines 43 to 45).

- 3.2 Corresponding objections apply to the related claim 17 as well as to remaining independent claims on file.
- Dependent claims 2 to 5, 7 to 11, 13, 14, 18 to 21, 23 to 27, 29 and 30 are not novel in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT. Dependent claims 6, 12, 15, 22, 28 and 31 are not inventive within the meaning of Article 33(3) PCT.
- 4.1 Document D1 further discloses the additional subject-matter of claims: 2 to 4 and 18 to 20¹, 5 and 21 (cf. col. 8, lines 27 to 31), 7 to 11 and 23 to 27 (cf. col. 1, lines 16 to 23) and 13, 14, 29 and 30 (cf. col. 8, lines 43 to 45).
- 4.2 The filtering of frequencies (deriving from spatial frequencies of known origin) in response to a process used to form the feature in accordance with **claims 6 and 22** appears to be straightforward for the skilled person.
- 4.3 Document D1 discloses the determination of a nominal shape of the figure (cf. col. 8, lines 5 to 9), the additional step of averaging some of the plurality of points (to smooth the shape) in accordance with **claims 12 and 28** is well known in the image processing field and cannot be considered inventive.
- 4.4 As regards the additional subject-matter of **claims 15 and 31**, the skilled person would make use of the method and apparatus of D1 also for features stemming from a reticle, a part of it or a cast of a structure.

¹ The additional subject-matter of these claims relates to the usual algorithms in roughness determination (see e.g. par. 2 of D2) and are considered to be disclosed by D1 to the person skilled in the art.